UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Edward Washington	Case No.1:21-mj-00401
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform lefendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ter	n years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been c U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	res:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or o a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpti person or the community. I further find that defendant has	on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe as not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative F	indings (A)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	s committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<u> </u>
<u>√</u> (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t	shed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions the safety of the community.
	Alternative F	• ,
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	
_	Part II – Statement of the	
	a preponderance of the evidence that:	etention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
Defenda	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest de	etention at this time.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 5, 2021	Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens	
		Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge	